IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 2377 of 2005

Between:

M/s. Taramandal Estates Pvt., Ltd., rep.

by its Director, S.L. Agarwal, S/o. Late J.P. Agarwal,
115, 1st Floor, Taramandal, 5-9-13, Saifabad, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally

Mandal, R.R.District.

.....RESPONDENT

under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction one more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus; a) declaring the action of the respondent in not acting upon the representation dt. 14.06.2004 of the petitioner and also failing to adhere the directions of this Hon'ble Court dt. 13.04.2004 in W.P.No.4964/2004, as bad, illegal, arbitrary; b) consequentially direct the respondent to furnish information sought for by the petitioner in his representation dt. 14.06.2004, or in the alternative mutate the name of the petitioner with respect to the property of an extent of Ac. 3.24 gts in Sy.Nos. 39 & 41 situated at Gachibowli village, Serilingampalli Mandal, R.R.District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.W.B.SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner earlier filed W.P. No.4964 of 2004, aggrieved by the action of the first respondent in not mutating the name of the petitioner in the Revenue Records with regard to the property situated in Survey No.24, 25, 26, 39 and 41, Gachibowli Village, Serilingampalli Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. The said Writ Petition was disposed of by this Court by Order, dated 13th April, 2004, granting liberty to the petitioner to got himself impleaded as party respondent to the appeal pending before the Revenue Divisional Officer and to put forth his claim. There was also a direction to the Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally to consider the matter soon after the disposal of the appeal by the Revenue Divisional Officer and to pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible.

The petitioner states that in pursuance of the orders of this Court, he made an application dated 14.06.2004 requesting the Mandal Revenue Officer to furnish the details of the appeal pending on his file, so as to enable him to get himself impleaded to the said proceedings.

The only grievance of the petitioner in this Writ Petition is that the Mandal Revenue Officer failed to consider his representation, dated 14.06.2004, and the details as sought by him have not been furnished so far.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents and particularly in view of the observations made by this Court in W.P. No.4964 of 2004, I deem it appropriate to

dispose of the Writ Petition with a direction to the respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally to consider the representation made by the petitioner on 14.06.2004 and to pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

_____

14-02-2005

kvrm

To

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally

Mandal, R.R.District.

2. 2 CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad(OUT)

3. 2 CD copies